

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,180	12/22/1999	JAY MERVES	P/2167-112	5863	
32172	7590 10/22/2004	EXAMINER			
	N SHAPIRO MORIN	CUFF, Mic	CUFF, MICHAEL A		
41 ST FL.	UE OF THE AMERICA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	K, NY 10036-2714	3627			
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/ ·								
		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Ž		09/470,18	30	MERVES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1111			
		Michael C		3627	IW			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	ddress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the total period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for re	N. 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the state od will apply and wi tute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) day: Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	July 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are object to restriction and	Irawn from co						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) 🗌	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/6 No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wallman (US 6,360,210).

Wallman teaches a computer based system for reducing risk, for a given portfolio, by examining the expected risk, pricing it and transferring some or all or it in exchange for consideration (Abstract). Wallman teaches that a problem is solved by combining a graphical or other user interface accessible by the investor over the Internet or through an intermediary with a computational pricing mechanism that examiners an investor's current portfolio expected risk, prices the expected risk, and transfers to a third party all of some of the expected portfolio risk or some other more general or different risk, such as market risk (column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 8; Figure 1,2). As illustrated in Figure 2, the user will select a portfolio of securities to be protected such as 40 stocks in varying percentages, the duration for the protection, such as 3 ½, 5 and/or 7 years, the amount of protection, such as no downside risk so that the end of the selected period the portfolio would have at least its current value, and the method of payment (column 9, lines 8-15).

Application/Control Number: 09/470,180

Art Unit: 3627

According to Wallman, the method for managing risk underlying a portfolio of asserts/liabilities, includes the steps of a) receiving information about the portfolio from a user, including a list of assets/liabilities, current market values for each of the assets/liabilities, amount of each asset/liability (e.g. shares owned or a percentage of each issues as part of the entire portfolio), and an input of what the user wishes to have limited for downside risk (shielded or protected); b) storing the portfolio to be shielded; c) analyzing the portfolio using value-at-risk and sensitivity algorithms and probabilistic analysis to determine an expected likelihood of catastrophic loss in value; d) providing a series of choices to the user via the graphical user interface to select (i) a time period or periods for which the user seeks shielding from the market risk for the portfolio, and (ii) degree of market risk protection; and e) pricing the requested shielding and providing said pricing to the user.

With respect to claim 1, therefore, a financial report is produced (preamble of claim 1) to the user regarding a portfolio of assets/liabilities, and information is stored via the computer's memory. Since the portfolio is managed via a computer based system, an "electronic site on the computer network to which the users may connect" and "providing at least one electronic screen to the at least one user over the computer network, the at least one screen including the subset of financial performance data" would have to be present, or implied by the teachings of Wallman.

The methodology can be applied to securities as well as any series of assets or liabilities that have volatile returns and market or other risks that can be priced. Assets/liabilities include any of the following: foreign or domestic securities, equities, options warrants, mutual fund shares, commodities, bonds, notes, bills, derivatives, tradable assets or liabilities or any combination thereof, financial assets, limited partnerships interests, private placement securities,

Application/Control Number: 09/470,180

Art Unit: 3627

foreign currencies, contracts, futures, bank loan syndication interests, debts, etc. (column 14, lines 55-63). It is well known in financial art, that assets, such as bonds, include a term of maturity and coupon rate printed on their face. Loans or notes are typically broken down into payments as a function of time.

Those assets/liabilities recited in the present claims, not specifically mentioned by Wallman, would be included within the teachings of the assets/liabilities of the reference.

A user accesses the computer-based system through a variety of communications systems, such as the Internet or any other computer network, or via third parties, such as a broker, bank, or other intermediary (contacts) (column 8, lines 48-67).

Although Wallman does not explicitly teach receiving search criteria over the computer network from at least one of the users for identifying at least a subset of financial performance data being arranged in a time series, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have requested search information regarding assets/liabilities that could be added to the user's portfolio. In other words, the user could request a financial report wherein a proposed asset is added to the user's portfolio, in that the user could see the outcome/risk of adding the particular asset to the portfolio, and hedge against the risk of the proposed asset.

Since the user can select a portfolio of securities to be protected as indicated in column 9, lines 8-16, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the financial art to have requested a search of each of the securities prior to forming the portfolio, with the individual and resulting overall risk of the proposed portfolio prior to committing to the portfolio. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided historical data, for each of the securities upon the search requested by the user.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the Wallman reference does not store respective financial performance data for each of a plurality of securities. The examiner does not concur.

Of course it does, price alone meets this limitation.

Applicant asserts that the Wallman reference does not consider the financial data. This is not relevant since it is not claimed.

Applicant asserts that the Wallman reference does not analyze securities. This is not relevant since it is not claimed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (703) 308-0610. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff

October 18, 2004

leff 10/18/04